SIAM J. SCI. COMPUT.
Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 1050–1051
© 2001 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

# A NOTE ON PRECONDITIONING NONSYMMETRIC MATRICES*

ILSE C. F. IPSEN†

**Abstract.** The preconditioners for indefinite matrices of KKT form in [M. F. Murphy, G. H. Golub, and A. J. Wathen, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 21 (2000), pp. 1969–1972] are extended to general nonsymmetric matrices.

**Key words.** preconditioner, minimal polynomial

**AMS subject classifications.** 65F10, 15A23

**PII.** S1064827500377435

In [2] preconditioners for real indefinite matrices of KKT form

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are presented.[1] The preconditioners $\mathcal{P}$ are of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ 0 & \pm CA^{-1}B^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ C & 2CA^{-1}B^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & CA^{-1}B^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

The preconditioned matrices $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ have minimal polynomials of degree at most 4. Hence a Krylov subspace method like GMRES applied to a preconditioned linear system with coefficient matrix $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ converges in 4 iterations or less, in exact arithmetic.

We extend the preconditioners $\mathcal{P}$ in [2] to general matrices $\mathcal{A}$ by deriving them from LU decompositions of $\mathcal{A}$. As before, the preconditioned matrices $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1}$ have minimal polynomials of degree at most 4.

Let

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

be a complex, nonsingular matrix where the leading principal submatrix $A$ is nonsingular. Let $S \equiv D - CA^{-1}B^*$ be the Schur complement with respect to $A$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is nonsingular, so is $S$. The idea is to factor $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$ such that the preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}$ has a minimal polynomial of small degree.

PROPOSITION 1 (extension of Remark 2 in [2]). *If*

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix},$$

*then*

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix},$$

*and $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1}$ have the minimal polynomial $(\lambda - 1)^2$.*

*Received by the editors September 1, 2000; accepted for publication (in revised form) October 18, 2000; published electronically September 26, 2001.
http://www.siam.org/journals/sisc/23-3/37743.html

†Center for Research in Scientific Computation, Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, P.O. Box 8205, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205 (ipsen@math.ncsu.edu, http://www4.ncsu.edu/~ipsen/). This research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9714811.

[1] The superscript * denotes the conjugate transpose.

PROPOSITION 2 (extension of (5) in [2]). *If*

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ 0 & -S \end{pmatrix},$$

*then*

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & -I \end{pmatrix},$$

*and $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1}$ have the minimal polynomial $(\lambda-1)(\lambda+1)$.*

The preconditioned matrix below is the same, up to permutations, as the one in [1, section 2.1].

PROPOSITION 3. *If*

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & -I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix},$$

*then*

$$\mathcal{P}_1^{-1}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$

The preconditioned matrix is also similar to $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1}$, where

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ C & D-2S \end{pmatrix},$$

which is an extension of the preconditioner in [2, p. 7].

REMARK 1. *Extending the preconditioner in* [2, Proposition 1] *to general matrices gives*

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & -S \end{pmatrix}.$$

*It can be derived from the scaled LU decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{D}$, where*

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{U} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & -B^*S^{-1} \\ & -I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{D} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & -S \end{pmatrix}.$$

*The preconditioned matrix is*

$$\mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -B^*S^{-1} \\ CA^{-1} & -DS^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*If $\mathcal{A}$ is of KKT form with $D = 0$, then*

$$\mathcal{T}^2 - \mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -B^*S^{-1}CA^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Since $(\mathcal{T}^2 - \mathcal{T})^2 = \mathcal{T}^2 - \mathcal{T}$, the preconditioned matrix $\mathcal{T}$ has a minimal polynomial of degree 4.*

## REFERENCES

[1] P. E. GILL, W. MURRAY, D. B. PONCELEÓN, AND M. A. SAUNDERS, *Preconditioners for indefinite systems arising in optimization*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 13 (1992), pp. 292–311.

[2] M. F. MURPHY, G. H. GOLUB, AND A. J. WATHEN, *A note on preconditioning for indefinite linear systems*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 21 (2000), pp. 1969–1972.